Vancouver Youth Model United Nations

The Nineteenth Iteration | October 25-27, 2024

Dear delegates,

I am pleased to welcome you to Vancouver Youth Model United Nations, and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). My name is Mila Pimstone, and I, along with the rest of your Dias, am thrilled to be a part of your Dias team. We anticipate that this year's topics, which include water scarcity in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) and deforestation in the Amazon, will spark lively debates as we tackle some of the most pressing global concerns.

Deforestation in the Amazon is a major problem worldwide but perhaps the most significant impact is its effects on climate change. It is a critical environmental issue with global consequences. The Amazon Rainforest absorbs a large quantity of carbon dioxide which helps to regulate our planet's temperature. However, land expansion, agriculture, and extensive logging rapidly destroy this vital environment. Not only does it endanger many plant and animal species, but it also causes the loss of forest cover, affects indigenous societies, and worsens climate change. Understanding the causes and consequences and possible solutions for deforestation in the Amazon is crucial in order to tackle this urgent ecological issue.

Water scarcity is a critical issue facing the Middle Eastern and Northern African (MENA) region, one of the most water-stressed areas in the world. With a rapidly growing population, economic development, and the impacts of climate change, the water demand is outstripping the limited supply. The region's arid climate, coupled with inefficient water management practices, has exacerbated the scarcity, leading to significant social, economic, and political challenges. Countries in the MENA region are increasingly reliant on expensive technological solutions such as desalination. Addressing water scarcity in this region requires a multifaceted approach that balances immediate needs with sustainable long-term solutions.

As the world continues to develop, delegates must work together and help others to alleviate the issue of water scarcity in MENA and propose possible solutions that will result in the reduction. It is important for all delegates to not only read this backgrounder thoroughly but also do intensive external research to be able to discuss this issue to your greatest ability.

Sincerely,

Mila Pimstone

Director of the United Nations Environment Programme | VYMUN 2024

Table of Contents

Topic A — Amazon Deforestation

Topic B — Water Scarcity

Overview

Destruction of the Amazon Rainforest is a very prevalent environmental issue with serious effects and consequences on the entire world. The Amazon is the world's largest tropical rainforest, which spans nine nations, with 60% of it being in Brazil. It covers over 5.5 million square kilometers. Referred to as the "lungs of the Earth", it plays a crucial role in controlling the planet's temperature and carbon dioxide removal. However, due to human activities, the forest cover in the Amazon has been rapidly diminishing. Since the 1970s, the original Amazon rainforest has lost around 20% of its natural area, and the rate of destruction has been alarmingly accelerating in recent years making this an extremely pressing issue.

Approximately 80% of the deforestation in the Amazon is caused by cattle ranching, which is one of the main drivers of agricultural expansion. Construction of roads, dams, forests, and soy farms are all significant contributors. Land grabs and uncontrolled logging are two examples of widespread illegal activities that are usually driven by bad governance and corruption. Moreover, policies that promote economic expansion usually prioritize short-term gains above long-term environmental sustainability.

Deforestation has negative impacts that are severe. The loss of forest cover reduces the Amazon's ability to absorb carbon, which intensifies climate change by releasing large amounts of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. Deforestation adds to the loss of biodiversity since the Amazon is home to 10% of all known species on Earth. Indigenous tribes are threatened by land invasion and resource depletion since they rely on the forest for their livelihood and sense of cultural identity.

International collaboration, legislative safeguards, and conservation projects have all been used to try to stop the deforestation of the Amazon, but implementation of these measures has proven difficult. In the struggle to protect this crucial ecosystem, authorities and other international players must prioritize combating unlawful activity, protecting indigenous rights, and striking a balance between economic growth and environmental sustainability.

Timeline

1964 – Brazil's Military Regime Initiates the "March to the West" Development Program
Brazil's military government launches a development initiative focused on expanding the Amazon for economic use. Leading to the clearing of vast forest areas, this program encourages infrastructure development, settlement, and large-scale agricultural projects.

1970 – Construction of the Trans-Amazonian Highway Begins
The Brazilian government started constructing the Trans-Amazonian Highway, a 4,000 kilometer road with the intention to promote agricultural development and settlement in the Amazon. By providing easier access to previously remote areas, the highway accelerated deforestation

1985 – Expansion of Cattle Ranching in the Amazon
During the 1980s, government subsidies and tax incentives boosted cattle ranching in the Amazon. By 1985, large-scale cattle ranching became the primary driver of deforestation; a trend that continues today. Approximately 80% of deforested land is converted to pasture.

July 1988 – Creation of National Institute for Space Research (INPE) Monitoring Program
Brazil establishes the INPE satellite monitoring system to track deforestation in the Amazon. This allowed the government to monitor forest loss in real time, providing critical data for future policies aimed at curbing deforestation.

1995 – Peak Deforestation Year in the Amazon
Deforestation rates reached a historical high. More than 29,000 square kilometers of forest cleared in a single year. Leading to increased awareness, both national and international, of the crisis and laying the foundation for future conservation measures.

August 2004 – Brazil Launches the Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Deforestation in the Amazon (PPCDAm)

Brazil introduced PPCDAm, in response to rising deforestation. A multi-faceted plan that focuses on enforcement, monitoring, and encouraging sustainable development. The following eight years, deforestation rates decreased by more than 80%, displaying the effectiveness of coordinated efforts.

November 2008 – Global Financial Crisis Leads to a Slowdown in Deforestation
The global financial downturn reduces demand for agricultural exports, temporarily slowing deforestation in the Amazon. However, as global markets recover, deforestation rates begin to rise again, underscoring the strong link between global commodity demand and forest loss.

January 2019 – Jair Bolsonaro Assumes the Presidency of Brazil
President Bolsonaro takes office with a pro-development agenda, loosening environmental regulations and reducing funding for enforcement agencies. His policies lead to a sharp increase in deforestation, with a 30% rise reported in his first year, reversing years of progress.

August 2019 – Global Outcry Over Amazon Fires
Widespread fires in the Amazon attract international attention as deforestation reaches critical levels. Satellite images reveal that many fires are intentionally set to clear land for agriculture, sparking global protests and diplomatic tensions over Brazil's environmental policies.

September 2023 – Major Global Brands Commit to Deforestation-Free Supply Chains
Under growing pressure from consumers and environmental groups, major companies pledge to eliminate deforestation from their supply chains by 2030. This initiative targets products like beef, soy, and palm oil, which are significant drivers of Amazon deforestation.

Historical Analysis

Amazon deforestation is a result of complex historical, economic, and political forces that have evolved over decades. Understanding the roots of this issue requires a closer examination of the policies, economic activities, and actors involved in shaping the current crisis. This analysis traces the key developments leading to the extensive deforestation we see today and highlights the sub-topics that are crucial for addressing the problem.

The origins of large-scale deforestation in the Amazon date back to the 1960s when Brazil's military regime adopted aggressive economic strategies to integrate the Amazon into the national economy. In 1964, the government initiated the "March to the West" program, which aimed to promote settlement and agricultural development in the Amazon region. This policy was driven by the desire to exert control over remote territories, strengthen national security, and boost economic growth through resource exploitation. A significant part of this effort was the construction of the Trans-Amazonian Highway, which began in 1970. This massive infrastructure project opened up vast tracts of previously inaccessible forest, enabling large-scale logging, cattle ranching, and agricultural expansion. The government's provision of subsidies and tax incentives encouraged settlers to clear the land, marking the beginning of a trend where infrastructure development directly facilitated deforestation.

By the 1980s, cattle ranching emerged as the dominant driver of deforestation in the Amazon. Brazil's government, eager to increase agricultural exports, heavily subsidized ranching operations. By 1985, nearly 80% of deforested land in the Amazon had been converted into pasture for cattle. This trend was further accelerated by global demand for beef, linking international markets directly to the loss of Amazonian forest cover. The expansion of soy cultivation, particularly in the Brazilian state of Mato Grosso, also played a significant role in deforestation. Soybeans, primarily used as livestock feed in global markets, contributed to land clearing, often at the expense of primary rainforest. The influence of powerful agribusiness interests in Brazil's political landscape has made it difficult to implement and enforce environmental protections, perpetuating a cycle of forest loss.

In response to growing concerns over the rapid degradation of the Amazon, Brazil began monitoring deforestation more rigorously in the late 1980s. The establishment of the National Institute for Space Research (INPE) in 1988 allowed the government to track deforestation in real time using satellite data. Despite these efforts, by 1995 deforestation rates peaked at more than 29,000 square kilometers cleared in a single year. This spike in deforestation attracted national and international attention, laying the groundwork for more robust conservation measures in the following decade.

The most notable of these measures was the Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Deforestation in the Amazon (PPCDAm), launched by the Brazilian government in 2004. This multi-agency initiative focused on monitoring, enforcement, and promoting sustainable development. Between 2004 and 2012, deforestation rates dropped by over 80%, demonstrating the effectiveness of coordinated efforts. However, the economic pressures driving deforestation remained largely unresolved, leaving the Amazon vulnerable to renewed threats.

The global financial crisis of 2008 temporarily slowed deforestation as demand for agricultural exports declined. However, as markets recovered, deforestation rates began to rise again. The election of President Jair Bolsonaro in 2019 marked a significant turning point. Bolsonaro's administration prioritized economic growth over environmental protection, loosening regulations, reducing funding for environmental agencies, and promoting agricultural expansion. Under his leadership, deforestation surged, with a 30% increase in his first year alone. The widespread fires in the Amazon in 2019, largely attributed to intentional land clearing, drew global attention and sparked international protests, underscoring the global implications of Amazon deforestation for biodiversity and climate change.

International responses have increasingly focused on the role of global supply chains in driving deforestation. In 2020, the European Union proposed legislation to ban imports of products linked to deforestation, such as beef, soy, and palm oil. Major corporations have also committed to eliminating deforestation from their supply chains by 2030. Despite these initiatives, challenges remain, particularly due to Brazil's economic reliance on agribusiness and weak enforcement of environmental laws. Indigenous communities, who have long been the most effective stewards of the forest, continue to face threats from land invading and violence.

Key sub-topics for further debate include balancing economic development with environmental protection, addressing the role of international trade in deforestation, empowering indigenous land management, strengthening environmental governance, and aligning global climate goals with Amazon conservation efforts. This analysis highlights the need for coordinated global action to address the multifaceted crisis of deforestation in the Amazon, which remains a critical challenge for environmental sustainability and climate stability.

Current Situation

The Brazilian government plays a pivotal role in shaping the Amazon's future when it comes to deforestation. Under President Jair Bolsonaro who ran from 2019-2022, policies favoring agricultural expansion and deregulation led to a significant surge in deforestation. Environmental enforcement agencies saw drastic funding cuts, and protections for indigenous lands were weakened. Re-elected in 2022, President Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva, promised to prioritize environmental protection and reverse these trends, yet, the challenges of enforcement, powerful agribusiness interests, and deeply entrenched political dynamics continue to pose obstacles.

Agribusiness as well as cattle ranching remain the primary drivers of deforestation. Brazil is one of the world's largest producers and exporters of beef and soy products which is heavily linked to land clearance in the Amazon. Large landowners, powerful agribusiness firms, and their political allies exert considerable influence over land use policies, very often prioritizing short-term economic gains over environmental sustainability.

Indigenous communities are critical stakeholders in this issue. Their territories are among the best-preserved areas in the Amazon. These communities face growing threats from illegal land grabbers, loggers, and agribusiness interests. Again, prioritizing economic gains over environmental sustainability. Securing indigenous land rights and empowering these communities is essential for protecting the Amazon. Their traditional practices align closely with conservation goals.

The international community also plays a significant role. Global actors, including the European Union (EU) and environmental NGOs, have increasingly pressured Brazil to curb deforestation. Recent initiatives, such as the EU's proposed legislation to ban imports of products linked to deforestation, are examples of how international trade policies are being leveraged to drive change. The Amazon Fund, supported by countries like Norway and Germany, provides financial aid for sustainable development initiatives, although its impact depends on cooperation with Brazil's government.

Sub-Topics

Balancing economic development with environmental protection remains one of the core challenges. Brazil's economy heavily relies on agricultural exports, creating a tension between economic growth and the need to preserve the rainforest. While sustainable agriculture, reforestation projects, and ecotourism are potential solutions, they require substantial investment and political will.

Improving environmental governance and law enforcement is another critical area. Corruption, insufficient funding, and weak enforcement have allowed illegal deforestation to continue largely unchecked. Strengthening institutions, increasing transparency, and ensuring consistent enforcement of environmental laws are necessary steps toward reducing forest loss.

Indigenous land rights and management are central to preserving the Amazon. Despite legal protections, indigenous lands are increasingly under threat from encroachment, violence, and legal rollbacks. Empowering Indigenous communities, recognizing their rights, and integrating their knowledge into broader conservation strategies are crucial to any long-term solution.

Global supply chains are directly linked to deforestation. The demand for beef, soy, and other commodities drives land clearance, making sustainable sourcing practices essential. Initiatives such as deforestation-free certification, corporate commitments to sustainable sourcing, and trade regulations are key tools in addressing this issue.

Climate change adds another layer of urgency. The Amazon functions as a critical carbon sink, absorbing vast amounts of carbon dioxide. However, continued deforestation risks tipping the balance, turning the forest from a carbon sink into a carbon emitter. Preserving the Amazon is essential to meeting global climate targets, making it a vital issue for international cooperation and climate diplomacy.

Current Statistics

Deforestation statistics reveal a concerning trend. In 2023 alone, over 10,000 square kilometers of the Amazon were lost, driven primarily by land clearing for cattle ranching, soy cultivation, and illegal logging. Fires, often set deliberately to clear land, compound the destruction. Regional variations exist, with states like Pará and Mato Grosso seeing the highest deforestation rates due to their prominence in agriculture. Neighboring countries such as Peru and Bolivia also face challenges related to deforestation, though the dynamics differ, driven more by small-scale farming and mining.

Diverse Perspectives

Perspectives on Amazon deforestation vary widely. Environmentalists argue for strict protections, reforestation, and greater support for indigenous communities. In contrast, agribusiness leaders emphasize the need for economic development, arguing that technological advancements can allow for sustainable agricultural expansion. The debate often centers on how to balance these competing priorities in a way that ensures both economic prosperity and environmental sustainability.

Possible Solutions

Sustainable Agroforestry and Reforestation Initiatives

What It Involves: Promoting agroforestry, which integrates trees and shrubs into agricultural systems, alongside reforestation efforts can help restore deforested areas while providing economic benefits to local communities.

Benefits: This approach allows for the sustainable use of land, reducing the pressure on forests by providing alternative income sources for farmers, such as fruit, nuts, and timber. Reforestation efforts also help restore biodiversity and sequester carbon.

Challenges: Requires initial investment, long-term commitment, and support from both local governments and communities. Effective monitoring and incentives are needed to ensure compliance and success.

Strengthening and enforcing environmental protection laws

What It Involves: Implementing stricter environmental regulations and ensuring that existing laws are enforced can significantly reduce illegal logging and land conversion. This includes increasing the capacity of monitoring agencies and the use of technology like satellite imagery to track deforestation.

Benefits: Reduces illegal activities by creating a strong deterrent and holding violators accountable. It also helps protect indigenous lands and biodiversity hotspots.

Challenges: Corruption, lack of resources, and political will can hinder the effectiveness of law enforcement. It requires coordinated efforts between governments, NGOs, and international bodies.

Promoting Eco-Tourism and Sustainable development

What It Involves: Developing eco-tourism as an alternative economic model that incentivizes the preservation of forests. By promoting the Amazon as a destination for sustainable tourism, local communities can generate income without resorting to deforestation.

Benefits: Creates jobs and revenue streams for local communities, while raising awareness about the importance of forest conservation. It also attracts international attention and funding for conservation projects.

Challenges: Requires environmentally sensitive infrastructure development, and there is a risk of over-tourism if not properly managed. It also needs careful planning to ensure that benefits reach local communities and do not lead to further environmental degradation.

Bloc Positions

Amazon Basin Countries Bloc

The Amazon Basin Countries Bloc includes Brazil, Peru, Colombia, Venezuela, Ecuador, Bolivia, Guyana, Suriname, and French Guiana. These nations share the Amazon rainforest and have a significant stake in its preservation and sustainable management. However, their approaches to deforestation vary widely. Brazil, which contains around 60% of the Amazon, has historically prioritized economic development through agriculture, logging, and mining, leading to significant deforestation. Recent shifts in policy have seen Brazil increase enforcement of environmental laws and pledge to reduce deforestation, especially under international pressure. However, domestic political dynamics and economic interests continue to influence Brazil's stance.

Other Amazon Basin countries, such as Peru and Colombia, have taken a more conservation-focused approach, implementing national policies to reduce deforestation and promote sustainable land use. These countries are also more inclined to collaborate with international environmental organizations and support initiatives that provide financial incentives for forest conservation, such as REDD+ (Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation). However, these nations face challenges such as illegal logging, land disputes, and limited resources for enforcement, which hinder the effectiveness of their conservation efforts.

Global Environmental Coalition Bloc

The Global Environmental Coalition Bloc is composed of nations and organizations outside the Amazon Basin that prioritize global environmental protection, including the European Union, Canada, Norway, and international NGOs like Greenpeace and WWF. This bloc advocates for strict measures to curb deforestation in the Amazon, viewing it as a critical component of global efforts to combat climate change and biodiversity loss. The bloc supports policies such as zero-deforestation supply chains, increased funding for conservation projects, and stronger international agreements to protect the Amazon.

Norway, for instance, has been a significant contributor to the Amazon Fund, which finances efforts to prevent deforestation in Brazil. The European Union has also introduced regulations aimed at banning imports of products linked to deforestation, applying economic pressure on Amazon Basin countries to adopt more sustainable practices. However, this bloc's actions can sometimes lead to tensions with Amazon Basin countries, who may view these measures as infringements on their sovereignty or as barriers to their economic development.

Emerging Economies Bloc

The Emerging Economies Bloc includes countries like China, India, and certain Southeast Asian nations, which, while not directly involved in Amazon deforestation, have a vested interest in the commodities produced from deforested land, such as soy, beef, and timber. These countries often prioritize economic growth and resource access over environmental concerns, and their demand for these commodities can drive deforestation in the Amazon. However, there is a growing recognition within this bloc of the need to balance economic interests with environmental sustainability. Some countries in this bloc have started implementing measures to reduce their supply chains' environmental impact, such as China's commitment to "green" the Belt and Road Initiative.

The bloc is likely to support solutions that do not hinder their economic development but promote sustainable practices, such as technological innovations in agriculture, sustainable sourcing certifications, and bilateral agreements that ensure the continued flow of resources while minimizing environmental damage. This bloc may push back against stringent international regulations, advocating for solutions that consider their development needs and offer financial or technical assistance for sustainable practices.

Discussion Questions

1. How can Amazon Basin countries balance economic development with forest preservation? What role should international aid and trade agreements play?
2. How can indigenous communities be more involved in Amazon conservation efforts? What are the benefits and challenges of using their knowledge?
3. What strategies are most effective for creating deforestation-free supply chains? How can these strategies be enforced globally?

4. What are the main obstacles to enforcing environmental protection laws in Amazon countries? How can international cooperation and local governance be improved?
5. How can technologies like satellite monitoring help fight Amazon deforestation? What are their limitations?
6. How can international policies address deforestation without infringing on the sovereignty of Amazon Basin countries? What are the impacts of these policies?
7. How does the Amazon's role as a carbon sink or emitter affect global climate targets? How can international efforts better support Amazon's conservation?

Additional Resources

1. https://ballardbrief.byu.edu/issue-briefs/deforestation-in-the-amazon-rainforest - website
2. The Amazon in Danger - DW Documentary
3. https://www.green.earth/blog/deforestation-in-the-amazon-rainforest-causes-effects-solutions - website
4. https://www.climatepolicyinitiative.org/publication/the-amazon-domino-effect-how-deforestation-can-trigger-widespread-degradation/ - website

Citations

Wegrowski, Brandon. “Deforestation in the Amazon Rainforest.” *Ballard Brief*, Ballard Brief, 26 July 2023, ballardbrief.byu.edu/issue-briefs/deforestation-in-the-amazon-rainforest.

Zanon, S. (2023, March 22). *Deforestation in the Amazon: Past, present and future*. InfoAmazonia. https://infoamazonia.org/en/2023/03/21/deforestation-in-the-amazon-past-present-and-future/

(“dgb”), D. G. N. v. (n.d.). *DGB group: Exploring the carbon offsetting markets: Deforestation*. DGB Group | Exploring the Carbon Offsetting Markets | Deforestation. https://www.green.earth/blog/tag/deforestation

Deforestation in the Amazon. Amazon Conservation Association. (2020, April 30). https://www.amazonconservation.org/the-challenge/threats/

Topic B - Water Scarcity

Overview

Water scarcity in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) is a pressing issue with significant implications for not only the region's stability but also development, and security. Over 6% of the world's population is home to the MENA region which contains less than 1% of the world's renewable freshwater resources. Rapid population growth and climate change exacerbate the already slim water supplies. The region's average annual water availability is around 1,200 cubic meters which is far below the global average of 7,000 cubic meters. Some countries, such as Jordan and Yemen, have less than 200 cubic meters per capita (per unit of population), which is considered extreme water scarcity.

Agriculture is heavily reliant on scarce water resources, leading to unsustainable practices and environmental degradation. The political landscape in MENA complicates water management, with rivers like the Nile, Euphrates, and Tigris often at the center of disputes between neighboring countries. The region's reliance on fossil water and desalination processes highlights the unsustainable nature of current water management practices. The problem has been addressed by international organizations but the task is still challenging because climate predictions indicate that by 2050, precipitation will fall by 20% and temperatures will climb by 2°C, worsening the water situation.

Efforts to tackle water scarcity in the MENA region must also address the socio-economic impacts of water shortages. In countries like Yemen and Iraq, severe water scarcity has contributed to widespread humanitarian crises. This includes displacement of people and increased conflict over the dwindling resources. Poverty and instability are made worse by the financial burden on industries like agriculture which are very water-intensive. To strengthen infrastructure, improve resilience, and promote regional collaboration, addressing these issues needs international help in addition to technological and legislative solutions. The integration of sustainable water management into wider development policies is vital to guarantee enduring stability and enhance the quality of life throughout the area.

Timeline of Events

October 1959 – Egypt and Sudan sign the Nile Waters Agreement, allocating water usage from the Nile River and sparking regional disputes over water rights.

July 1975 – Turkey begins construction of the Keban Dam on the Euphrates River, leading to tensions with downstream nations Syria and Iraq.

March 22nd, 1993 – The United Nations adopted World Water Day, highlighting the global importance of freshwater and sustainable water management, particularly in water-scarce regions like MENA.

November 1997 – The UN Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses is adopted, establishing guidelines for the fair and sustainable use of transboundary waters.

April 2001 – Israel and Jordan sign the Red Sea-Dead Sea Canal agreement, aiming to address water scarcity and the declining water levels of the Dead Sea.

June 2012 – The Arab Ministerial Water Council adopts the Arab Water Security Strategy 2010-2030, outlining a regional approach to managing water resources amid growing scarcity.

December 2015 – The Paris Agreement is adopted, recognizing the link between climate change and water scarcity, and calling for action to mitigate the impacts of global warming on water resources.

January 2017 – Iran experiences severe drought conditions, leading to widespread protests over water shortages and mismanagement.

February 2018 – Cape Town, South Africa, faces "Day Zero," where the city nearly runs out of water, serving as a warning for other regions, including MENA, facing severe water scarcity.

October 2020 – The Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) dispute escalates as Ethiopia begins filling the dam, raising tensions with Egypt and Sudan over water rights on the Nile.

July 2021 – Iraq suffers from a severe drought, exacerbated by upstream damming on the Euphrates and Tigris rivers by Turkey and Iran.

August 2022 –The United Nations reports that Yemen's water infrastructure has been devastated by ongoing conflict, leaving millions without access to clean water.

March 2023 – The UN Water Conference focuses on addressing the global water crisis, with a particular emphasis on the challenges facing the MENA region.

April 2024 – Saudi Arabia announces plans to invest $80 billion in water desalination and conservation projects as part of its Vision 2030 initiative.

July 2024 – A severe heatwave hits the MENA region, causing further depletion of water resources and increasing the urgency for regional cooperation on water management.

Historical Analysis

The roots of water scarcity in the MENA region can be traced back to its geography and historical water management practices. The region's arid climate, with little to no rainfall and high evaporation rates, has always posed challenges for water availability in the region. Ancient civilizations, such as those in Mesopotamia and Egypt, developed sophisticated irrigation systems to manage water resources, but these systems were often vulnerable to climatic fluctuations and overuse.

In the modern era of our society, population growth and urbanization have placed unprecedented demands on water resources. Between 1950 and 2020, the population of the MENA region increased from around 100 million to over 500 million people. This dramatically increased water consumption. Urbanization has just exceeded the problem, with cities requiring large amounts of water for agricultural, industrial, and domestic use. The region's agriculture sector, which consumes the vast majority of water resources, frequently uses ineffective irrigation techniques, leading to significant water loss.

Political factors have also played a crucial role in the development of water scarcity in the MENA region. The Nile, Tigris, and Euphrates rivers are shared by multiple countries in the region. This leads to disputes over water usage and rights. For example, The Nile Waters Agreement of 1959 between Egypt and Sudan has been a source of tension with other Nile Basin countries, particularly Ethiopia, which needs the river for its development needs in the country.

In more recent decades, climate change has emerged as a significant factor in the region's water scarcity. A reason for this is also because Climate change has become a vast factor worldwide. Rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns have led to more frequent and severe droughts which reduces the availability of freshwater. In 2015 there was a Paris Agreement that recognized the link between climate change and water scarcity; calling for global action to mitigate these impacts. The MENA region remains particularly vulnerable to the effects of climate change, with projections indicating that the region could experience a 20% decline in precipitation by 2050. This is frightening for our generation and future generations who will have to live through this.

International and regional efforts to address water scarcity in the MENA region have had somewhat mixed results. The Arab Water Security Strategy in 2010-2030 was adopted by the Arab Ministerial Water Council. Although there has been inconsistent implementation throughout the area, this represented a regional strategy for managing water resources. The UN Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses provides a framework for the sustainable use of

transboundary waters. Its effectiveness has been limited by political disputes and a lack of enforcement mechanisms.

Current Situation

Water scarcity in the MENA region has reached a critical level, with several countries facing severe shortages that threaten their economic stability and social cohesion. The region is home to some of the world's most water-stressed countries, including Jordan, Yemen, and Libya, where per capita water availability is far below the threshold for extreme water scarcity. In Yemen, ongoing conflict has devastated the country's water infrastructure, leaving millions without access to clean water and exacerbating the humanitarian crisis. The United Nations estimates that 80% of Yemen's population is in need of water, sanitation, and hygiene assistance.

In Egypt, the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) on the Nile River has become a focal point of regional tensions. Ethiopia's decision to fill the dam without a comprehensive agreement with downstream countries Egypt and Sudan has raised fears of reduced water flow and its impact on agriculture and water supply. The dispute over GERD highlights the broader issue of transboundary water management in the MENA region, where shared water resources are often a source of conflict rather than cooperation.

Climate change continues to exacerbate water scarcity in the region, with rising temperatures leading to more frequent and severe droughts. In 2021, Iraq experienced one of its worst droughts in decades, leading to crop failures, water shortages, and increased tensions with upstream countries Turkey and Iran, which have built dams on the Euphrates and Tigris rivers. Similarly, in Iran, water scarcity has led to widespread protests, with citizens demanding better water management and an end to government mismanagement of water resources.

Desalination has emerged as a key strategy for addressing water scarcity in the MENA region, particularly in the Gulf countries. Saudi Arabia, the world's largest producer of desalinated water, has announced plans to invest $80 billion in water desalination and conservation projects as part of its Vision 2030 initiative. However, desalination is an energy-intensive process with significant environmental impacts, including the disposal of brine, which can harm marine ecosystems.

International organizations and regional initiatives continue to play a role in addressing water scarcity in the MENA region. The United Nations, through its various agencies and programs, has been working to promote sustainable water management practices and provide humanitarian assistance to water-stressed countries. The Arab Ministerial Water Council's Arab Water Security Strategy 2010-2030 remains a key regional framework for addressing water scarcity, but its implementation has been uneven.

Possible Solutions

Addressing water scarcity in the MENA region requires a multifaceted approach that combines technological innovation, regional cooperation, and sustainable water management practices. One potential solution is the widespread adoption of modern irrigation techniques, such as drip irrigation, which can significantly reduce water loss in agriculture. Drip irrigation systems deliver water directly to the roots of plants, reducing evaporation and runoff, and can be particularly effective in arid regions like MENA. However, the high installation and maintenance cost may be a barrier for small-scale farmers, and governments may need to provide subsidies or incentives to encourage adoption.

Another solution is the development of regional water-sharing agreements that promote cooperation rather than conflict over shared water resources. The Nile Basin Initiative, which includes 11 countries that share the Nile River, is an example of a regional framework that seeks to promote equitable water usage and resolve disputes through dialogue and cooperation. However, the success of such agreements depends on the political will of the countries involved and their ability to reach mutually beneficial compromises.

Desalination remains a critical component of the region's strategy to address water scarcity, particularly for Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. This technology provides a reliable source of freshwater by converting seawater into potable water, which is essential for meeting the growing demands of urban populations and industrial activities. Despite its benefits, desalination is energy-intensive and has environmental impacts, such as brine disposal, which can harm marine ecosystems. To make desalination more sustainable, the region must invest in renewable energy sources to power these facilities and improve brine management practices. Integrating desalination with water conservation measures and efficiency improvements can help mitigate some of its environmental drawbacks.

Bloc Positions

Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) Bloc

The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) Bloc, comprising Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Qatar, Oman, and Bahrain, faces acute water scarcity due to its arid climate and limited freshwater resources. These countries have invested heavily in desalination technology to meet their water needs, with Saudi Arabia producing the world's largest share of desalinated water. The GCC nations advocate for technological solutions to water scarcity, including the expansion of desalination plants, wastewater recycling, and the development of advanced irrigation techniques. However, the environmental and economic costs of these technologies, particularly the energy-intensive nature of desalination, are significant concerns for the bloc.

The GCC bloc has also explored regional cooperation on water management, though political differences sometimes hinder deeper collaboration. These nations tend to support solutions that focus on technological innovation, the use of renewable energy for desalination, and the improvement of water-use efficiency in agriculture. They may resist international pressure to change their water management practices if it threatens their economic interests or sovereignty.

Nile Basin Bloc

The Nile Basin Bloc includes countries such as Egypt, Sudan, Ethiopia, and Uganda, which share the waters of the Nile River. Water scarcity is a critical issue in this bloc, particularly for Egypt and Sudan, which rely heavily on the Nile for agriculture, drinking water, and energy production. The construction of the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) has heightened tensions within this bloc, with Ethiopia viewing the dam as essential for its development and energy security, while Egypt and Sudan fear it could significantly reduce their water supplies.

This bloc's position is heavily influenced by the need for a fair and equitable distribution of Nile waters. Egypt and Sudan advocate for legally binding agreements that ensure their historical water rights are respected, while Ethiopia and other upstream countries push for more equitable water-sharing arrangements that allow for their development needs. The Nile Basin Initiative, an intergovernmental partnership, seeks to promote cooperative management of the Nile's resources, though disputes over water allocation continue to challenge the bloc's unity.

North African Agricultural Bloc

The North African Agricultural Bloc includes countries like Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, and Libya, where agriculture plays a significant role in the economy and is heavily dependent on scarce water resources. These nations face growing challenges from climate change, which is exacerbating droughts and reducing water availability. In response, they have implemented policies aimed at improving water-use efficiency in agriculture, such as the adoption of drip irrigation, the use of drought-resistant crops, and the construction of dams and reservoirs.

This bloc is likely to support solutions that enhance agricultural sustainability and resilience to climate change. They may advocate for increased investment in agricultural technology, regional cooperation on water management, and international assistance to develop infrastructure that can help manage water scarcity. However, political instability in some of these countries can hinder the implementation of long-term water management strategies, making international support and collaboration crucial for addressing their water challenges.

Discussion Questions

1. How can modern irrigation techniques, such as drip irrigation, be effectively scaled up in water-scarce areas of the MENA region?
2. Considering both upstream development and downstream needs, what strategies can ensure a fair distribution of Nile waters among Nile Basin countries?
3. How can international and regional efforts effectively support water management in politically unstable countries like Yemen and Libya?
4. What are the long-term impacts of heavy reliance on desalination for GCC countries, and how can they address associated challenges?
5. How should the MENA region adjust its water management strategies in response to a predicted 20% decline in precipitation by 2050?
6. What are the effects of water scarcity on social stability and economic development in the MENA region, and how can these challenges be addressed?

Additional Resources

1. https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2024/04/the-looming-climate-and-water-crisis-in-the-middle-east-and-north-africa?lang=en - website
2. https://www.prb.org/resources/finding-the-balance-population-and-water-scarcity-in-the-middle-east-and-north-africa/ - website (good statistics
3. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2023/01/middle-east-north-africa-mena-water-crisis-industry-leaders-solutions/ - article
4. https://www.unicef.org/press-releases/running-dry-unprecedented-scale-and-impact-water-scarcity-middle-east-and-north - article
5. https://www.stimson.org/2021/water-crisis-in-the-mena-region/ - article (quick read)

Citations

Hall, N. (2024, March 22). *Surviving scarcity*. Water and the Future of the Middle East. https://features.csis.org/surviving-scarcity-water-and-the-future-of-the-middle-east/#:~:text=By%202050%2C%20every%20single%20country,the%20end%20of%20the%20century.

Mahmoud, M. (2024). *The looming climate and water crisis in the Middle East and North Africa*. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2024/04/the-looming-climate-and-water-crisis-in-the-middle-east-and-north-africa?lang=en

Meredith, S. (2024, September 6). *Water shortages are likely brewing future wars - with several flashpoints across the Globe*. CNBC. https://www.cnbc.com/2024/09/05/water-wars-flashpoints-identified-in-africa-asia-and-the-middle-east.html

Stoddard, J. (2024, January 8). *The MENA region is headed for more insecurity due to climate change. can this be mitigated?*. IPI Global Observatory. https://theglobalobservatory.org/2024/01/the-mena-region-is-headed-for-more-insecurity-due-to-climate-change-can-this-be-mitigated/