Vancouver Youth Model United Nations

The Twentieth Iteration | October 24-26, 2025

Dear Delegates,

My name is Stella Humphrey, and I am beyond honoured to serve as your Director for the World Health Organization at VYMUN 2025. I am currently a Grade 12 student at West Point Grey Academy, and this marks my fifth year participating in Model United Nations. For this special twentieth iteration of VYMUN, I have the privilege of working alongside your Chair, Rita Periel, and your Assistant Director, Eashin Uppal.

Upon joining the Model United Nations program at my school, I immediately felt like I connected to the community. Even though I was initially too nervous to speak, I eventually came to appreciate not only the debate and discourse, but also the Model UN community. I sincerely hope that Model UN has the same rewarding and educational effects on you as it has on me.

This year, our committee will be exploring two complex topics: gender-based disparities in global healthcare and the loneliness epidemic. To prepare, I strongly encourage you to read the background guide thoroughly and conduct additional research from your country's perspective. This will allow you to speak confidently, collaborate meaningfully, and craft well-informed solutions throughout the weekend.

On behalf of the entire Dais team, we are thrilled to welcome you and cannot wait to witness the skills and perspectives you will bring to the committee. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to us at who@vymun.org. We truly hope that VYMUN 2025 will aid you in creating lifelong memories, connections, and skills.

Sincerely,
Stella Humphrey
Director of the World Health Organization
Vancouver Youth Model United Nations 2025

Topic A—Gender-Based Disparities in Global Healthcare

Topic B—The Loneliness Epidemic

Topic A—Gender-Based Disparities in Global Healthcare

Overview

Gender-based disparities in global healthcare refer to the unequal access, treatment, and health outcomes which occur as a result of gender biases. These disparities affect women, men, and gender minorities uniquely, shaped by social, economic, cultural, and political factors worldwide.

Women and girls face significant barriers to accessing healthcare, particularly reproductive and maternal health services. According to the World Health Organization, nearly 287,000 women died in 2020 from complications related to pregnancy and childbirth, mostly in low- and middle-income countries. Many of these deaths are preventable with better access to quality care.

Men, on the other hand, are less likely to use healthcare services, often due to social stigma around showing vulnerability or seeking help.[1] This contributes to higher rates of premature death from conditions like cardiovascular diseases and higher suicide rates in many regions.

Gender minorities and LGBTQ+ populations face discrimination and stigma within healthcare systems, which limits their access to necessary and respectful care. These groups often experience higher rates of mental health issues, HIV/AIDS, and barriers to gender-affirming treatments.[2]

Another critical challenge is the lack of gender-disaggregated health data. Without detailed data that shows how health outcomes differ by gender, it is difficult to develop effective policies and programs.

The World Health Organization works to address these disparities by promoting gender-sensitive health policies, supporting education and awareness, training healthcare workers, and encouraging data collection. All with the goal of achieving gender equality in healthcare vital to improve health outcomes worldwide and ensure everyone can access the care they need without discrimination.

[1] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6376713/
[2] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4802845/

Timeline of Events

December 10, 1948
The United Nations adopts the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which affirms the right of every individual to healthcare without discrimination, including on the basis of gender.[3]

December 18, 1979
The UN General Assembly adopts the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), establishing an international framework to promote women's rights, including health rights.[4]

September 5, 1994
The International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) takes place, where the UN agrees on a program emphasizing reproductive health and gender equality as essential to improving global health outcomes.

September 6–15, 2000
The UN adopts the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), which include goals focused on reducing child mortality, improving maternal health, and promoting gender equality.[5]

May 25, 2005
The World Health Assembly adopts the Gender Policy, which commits WHO to integrating gender perspectives in all health policies, programs, and research.

October 11, 2011
The UN General Assembly holds the first International Day of the Girl Child, emphasizing girls' rights and highlighting barriers to healthcare access globally.[6]

April 7, 2015
The WHO launches the Global Strategy for Women's, Children's and Adolescents' Health, aiming to end preventable deaths and improve health outcomes by addressing gender disparities.[7]

---

[3] https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights
[4] https://humanrightscommitments.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/CEDAW.pdf
[5] https://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/
[6] https://www.un.org/en/observances/girl-child-day
[7] https://platform.who.int/data/maternal-newborn-child-adolescent-ageing/global-strategy-data

September 25, 2015

The UN adopts the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs**)**, aiming to ensure healthy lives for all, and targeting gender equality.[8]

March 8, 2017

The WHO publishes its Gender Equality Strategy 2018-2023, reinforcing the commitment to eliminate gender inequalities in healthcare systems worldwide.[9]

February 23, 2022

The WHO releases updated Global Maternal Mortality Estimates, showing a slight reduction but emphasizing persistent disparities, especially in low-income and conflict-affected regions.[10]

February 23, 2023

The UN agencies issue a joint statement highlighting that a woman dies every two minutes due to pregnancy or childbirth complications, stressing urgent action to address gender disparities in healthcare access.[11]

March 11, 2024

The WHO announces the launch of a new Global Action Plan to Advance Gender Equality in Health, focusing on improving data collection, healthcare worker training, and access to gender-sensitive services.[12]

[8] https://sdgs.un.org/goals
[9] https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/migration/ye/UNDP-Gender-Equality-Strategy-2018-2021.pdf
[10] Ibid
[11] https://www.who.int/news/item/23-02-2023-a-woman-dies-every-two-minutes-due-to-pregnancy-or-childbirth--un-agencies
[12] Ibid

Historical Analysis

The roots of gender-based healthcare disparities lie in embedded social, cultural, and economic inequalities. Historically, minorities have been excluded from decision-making, education, and resource access. All of which are factors that directly impact health care availability. In many societies, health systems were built around male-dominated models of care and research, often overlooking female-oriented health issues and excluding women from clinical trials.

During the 20th century, reproductive health began to emerge as a global health concern.[13] However, even this was often framed through the lens of population control rather than rights-based access to service. For much of history, gender minorities, especially trans and non-binary individuals, were not recognized in health policies, leaving their needs completely unaddressed.

The international community first began addressing gender inequality in health with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), which established health as a basic human right. However, it was not until the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) in 1979 that women's health began to receive attention. The International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) in Cairo in 1994 marked a shift toward a rights-based approach, recognizing women's autonomy over their reproductive health.[14]

In 2005, WHO adopted a Gender Policy, signaling its commitment to incorporating gender analysis into its programs and data. This policy highlighted the need to consider how different genders experience illness, access care, and respond to treatment.

Despite decades of advocacy, progress remains slow, especially in low- and middle-income countries. Women continue to face significant barriers to healthcare, particularly in regions affected by poverty, conflict, or restrictive gender norms. According to WHO, about 287,000 women died in 2020 from preventable pregnancy-related causes, mostly in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. [15]

Men also face gendered health challenges, such as underuse of mental health services and high suicide rates, often linked to societal expectations of masculinity. Meanwhile, gender-diverse populations continue to experience widespread discrimination in health settings, and are at greater risk of HIV and mental illness.[16]

---

[13] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0884217515337904
[14] Ibid
[15] Ibid
[16] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7613967/

These disparities are reinforced by a lack of gender-disaggregated data. Without accurate data on how different genders are affected by diseases, it is difficult to design effective policies. Moreover, the healthcare workforce itself reflects gender inequality: women make up over 67% of health workers but are underrepresented in leadership roles.[17]

In recent years, the WHO and its partners have intensified their efforts. The Global Strategy for Women's, Children's and Adolescents' Health (2016–2030) set clear targets to reduce preventable deaths and address social and economic factors driving inequality.

Despite these efforts, challenges persist due to inadequate funding, political resistance, and deeply rooted gender norms. The global COVID-19 pandemic exposed further vulnerabilities, including increased gender-based violence and interruptions to essential services like maternal care and family planning.[18]

This issue persists because gender discrimination is systematic. Health systems mirror the inequalities found in society, and fixing them requires action across education, economics, law, and culture. Actors such as the WHO, national governments, and NGOs continue to push for inclusive policies, but progress depends heavily on each country's political will and cultural readiness to address gender injustice.

[17] https://www.who.int/activities/value-gender-and-equity-in-the-global-health-workforce
[18] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7234868/

Current Situation

Gender-based disparities in healthcare remain a major global concern in 2025. Although medical technology and health systems have advanced in many parts of the world, access to healthcare remains static. These differences are caused by a mix of social, cultural, economic, and legal barriers. In many countries, especially those that are low-income or affected by conflict, gender-related health challenges are not being properly addressed.

One of the most urgent issues is reproductive health. Every two minutes, a woman dies from pregnancy-related causes—most of which are preventable. These deaths mostly happen in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, where access to basic health services is limited.[19] In many countries, laws restrict access to contraception and abortion, and harmful gender norms discourage women from seeking medical help in the first place. The WHO, along with the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), is working to improve reproductive care through global strategies and partnerships, but more support is needed to close the gap.

Healthcare access is especially difficult in areas affected by war or displacement. Women and children in conflict zones like Sudan, Yemen, and Gaza often lack access to basic healthcare, and gender-based violence is common. In refugee camps, survivors of violence may have no access to counseling, reproductive care, or even safe childbirth services. The WHO helps coordinate health responses during emergencies, but resources are stretched thin, and many people are left without care.

Another major challenge is the lack of gender-based health data. Many countries do not track how diseases or treatments affect different genders, which makes it hard to design fair health systems. This can lead to care that overlooks female-specific symptoms or excludes LGBTQ+ individuals entirely. These groups are at higher risk for mental illness, HIV, and violence, but often do not appear in official health plans.[20]

There are also large differences across regions. In high-income countries like Sweden or Canada, healthcare is generally accessible, but gaps remain for Indigenous communities and racial minorities. In Latin America, health education has improved, but abortion remains restricted in many countries. In the Middle East and parts of Asia, cultural and religious norms limit women's ability to make health decisions. These local differences must be understood and respected while still working toward international solutions.

---

[19] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10528291/

[20] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK563176/

Several important global documents guide action on this topic, including the WHO Global Strategy for Women's, Children's and Adolescents' Health (2016–2030), the Sustainable Development Goals; Goals 3 (good health) and 5 (gender equality)—and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW).[21] These set global standards, but many countries struggle to fully apply them.

[21] Ibid

Possible Solutions

Gender Health Equity Fund

One part of a solution is the creation of a Gender Health Equity Fund. This fund would provide financial support to low- and middle-income countries aiming to reduce gender-based health disparities. It could be used to support maternal health programs, increase access to sexual and reproductive care, and fund health outreach in rural or conflict-affected regions. Countries could apply for funding based on specific gender-related health goals, while the WHO would oversee program planning and accountability. This targeted funding model allows flexibility for national governments to design context-sensitive programs while benefiting from global oversight. However, the success of such a fund depends on reliable contributions from wealthier parties and on ensuring transparency to avoid misuse. Past experiences—such as the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria—demonstrate that pooled international funding can be effective if there are strong evaluation systems in place.[22]

Gender-Disaggregated Health Data Collection

Another key solution is to mandate the collection and reporting of gender-disaggregated health data. Without clear data broken down by gender and age, health systems often miss critical inequalities. For instance, women may show different symptoms for conditions like heart disease but be misdiagnosed due to data based on male patterns. Additionally, transgender and non-binary individuals are often left out of national health statistics entirely. By requiring all WHO member states to collect gender-specific data, governments would be better equipped to design evidence-based health policies. While this solution promotes transparency and better policymaking, it also raises concerns. Some countries may lack the resources or political will to gather such data, especially on marginalized groups.[23] The WHO would need to provide support through training, funding, and data protection tools to help states implement this effectively and ethically.

Inclusive Healthcare Training Guidelines

A third strategy is the development of global guidelines for inclusive healthcare training. These would be standardized, WHO-created modules designed to help healthcare providers recognize and reduce gender bias in clinical settings. The training could include content on women's health, respectful maternity care, and culturally sensitive treatment for LGBTQ+ individuals. Delivered in local languages and adapted for cultural relevance, the goal would be to improve both patient trust and healthcare quality and outcomes. This solution fosters long-term, systemic change from within the

[22] https://www.theglobalfund.org/en/stories/

[23] https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1517758019300931

health workforce. However, the challenge lies in adoption. In some countries, political or cultural resistance may limit willingness to implement gender-inclusive practices. The effectiveness of this solution would depend on whether governments are willing to require and support such training across public and private healthcare systems.

Bloc Positions

Progressive Liberal Democratic Bloc

This bloc includes Sweden, Norway, Finland, Denmark, Canada, France, Germany, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Australia, the United Kingdom, and Spain. These countries lead international efforts on gender equity and healthcare access, often supporting reproductive rights, LGBTQ+ health services, and gender-inclusive data collection. They frequently fund WHO and UN programs aimed at closing global health gaps and back policies integrating social determinants of health.[24] For example, Sweden's feminist foreign policy explicitly targets healthcare access for women and marginalized genders. These countries are likely to champion language in resolutions that call for universal access, data transparency, and human rights protections.

High-Income Traditionalist Bloc

Composed of Poland, Italy, the United States of America, Israel, Japan, and South Korea, this bloc contains developed countries with complex or divided stances. Some have internal political divides over abortion and LGBTQ+ healthcare. Japan and Israel offer strong general healthcare systems but show conservative tendencies in sexual health and gender-affirming care.[25] These nations may support equity-based measures but will often avoid endorsing policies perceived to conflict with domestic legal, cultural, or political norms. They tend to favor resolutions that highlight family health, maternal care, and innovation without delving into controversial rights-based frameworks.

Development-Focused Reform Bloc

This group includes Brazil, Argentina, Chile, Mexico, Colombia, Peru, South Africa, Thailand, the Philippines, Indonesia, and Bangladesh. These countries show commitment to improving healthcare outcomes, especially for women, but often face structural barriers like poverty, regional inequality, and gender-based violence. Latin American nations in particular have seen political movements pushing for reproductive rights, while South and Southeast Asian countries have implemented maternal health programs. This bloc supports international funding, capacity-building, and culturally adaptable frameworks. They are supportive of gender equality, but highly focused on feasibility and impact.

Conservative Religious or Cultural Block Bloc

Consisting of Saudi Arabia, Iran, United Arab Emirates, Pakistan, Nigeria, Egypt, and Turkey, this bloc reflects more culturally conservative approaches to gender in healthcare. Many of these countries limit access to reproductive services, LGBTQ+ care, or gender education on religious or moral

[24] https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240025905
[25] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11514625/

grounds. While they support maternal and child health and often welcome international health aid, they are cautious about perceived Western interference or rights-based language that challenges traditional gender roles.[26] These countries will likely support general health equity initiatives but are hesitant to include language around abortion, sexuality, or gender identity.

Strategic Pragmatists & State-Centric Model Bloc

This bloc includes China, India, Kenya, Ukraine, and Ethiopia—countries that blend strong state involvement in healthcare with strategic interest in development. China and India, for instance, invest heavily in healthcare infrastructure and data-driven planning, but often resist external pressure on domestic policies. Ukraine, amidst its rebuilding, focuses on healthcare access for displaced populations and women. Ethiopia and Kenya prioritize maternal health but face major funding and infrastructure challenges. These nations tend to focus on sovereignty, local control, and development-driven gender equity, and will support flexible frameworks that offer aid and technical assistance without rigid policy.[27]

[26] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8904729/

[27] https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/Headquarters/Attachments/Sections/Library/Publications/2019/Gender-equality-and-inclusive-growth-en.pdf

Discussion Questions

1. What are the primary causes of gender-based disparities in your country's healthcare system?
2. To what extent should international bodies like the WHO influence or guide national health policies that intersect with gender or cultural issues?
3. How can gender-disaggregated health data improve health outcomes, and what barriers exist in collecting or using such data in your country or region?
4. What role should reproductive rights, including access to contraception and abortion, play in global discussions on gender and health equity?
5. How can the WHO support low- and middle-income countries in closing gender-based health gaps without imposing one-size-fits-all solutions?
6. What responsibilities do high-income nations have in funding or supporting gender health equity initiatives?
7. In what specific ways should healthcare systems evolve to better serve marginalized gender identities ?
8. Which possible solutions would be most effective and politically feasible for your country to implement?

Additional Resources

- Maternal Mortality | World Health Organization
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights | United Nations
- Value gender and equity in the global health workforce
- History of the Women's Health Movement in the 20th Century - ScienceDirect
- Cultural Competence in the Care of LGBTQ Patients - StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf
- Why public policies fail: Policymaking under complexity - ScienceDirect
- Gender Equality and Inclusive Growth | UN Women

Works Cited

n.d. Barriers to Health Care for Transgender Individuals. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4802845/.

"THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development." n.d. Sustainable Development Goals. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://sdgs.un.org/goals.

"Closing the leadership gap: gender equity and leadership in the global health and care workforce." 2021. World Health Organization (WHO). https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240025905.

"The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women." n.d. Canada's Human Rights Commitments. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://humanrightscommitments.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/CEDAW.pdf.

"Cultural Competence in the Care of LGBTQ Patients." 2023. NCBI. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK563176/.

"GENDER EQUALITY AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH:." n.d. UN Women. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/Headquarters/Attachments/Sections/Library/Publications/2019/Gender-equality-and-inclusive-growth-en.pdf.

"International Day of the Girl Child | United Nations." n.d. the United Nations. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://www.un.org/en/observances/girl-child-day.

Ki-moon, Ban. n.d. “Global Strategy for Women's, Children's and Adolescents' Health Data Portal.” World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed June 7, 2025. https://platform.who.int/data/maternal-newborn-child-adolescent-ageing/global-strategy-data

“Maternal mortality.” 2025. World Health Organization (WHO). https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/maternal-mortality.

“Strengthening women's empowerment and gender equality in fragile contexts towards peaceful and inclusive societies: A systematic review and meta-analysis.” n.d. PubMed Central. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8904729/.

“United Nations Millennium Development Goals.” n.d. the United Nations. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/.

“Universal Declaration of Human Rights | United Nations.” n.d. the United Nations. Accessed June 7, 2025. https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights.

“Value gender and equity in the global health workforce.” n.d. World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed June 7, 2025. https://www.who.int/activities/value-gender-and-equity-in-the-global-health-workforce.

“A woman dies every two minutes due to pregnancy or childbirth: UN agencies.” 2023. World Health Organization (WHO).

https://www.who.int/news/item/23-02-2023-a-woman-dies-every-two-minutes-due-to-pregnancy-or-childbirth--un-agencies.

"A woman dies every two minutes due to pregnancy or childbirth: UN agencies." 2023. World Health Organization (WHO). https://www.who.int/news/item/23-02-2023-a-woman-dies-every-two-minutes-due-to-pregnancy-or-childbirth--un-agencies.

Topic B—The Loneliness Epidemic

Overview

In recent years, loneliness and social isolation have emerged as serious global public health concerns, often described as a "loneliness epidemic." While loneliness has traditionally been viewed as a personal or emotional issue, recent research shows it has wide-reaching effects on both physical and mental health. The World Health Organization now recognizes social isolation as a major determinant of health, with studies showing that chronic loneliness can increase the risk of premature death by up to 26%. Its impact has been compared to smoking 15 cigarettes a day, and it is strongly linked to depression, anxiety, heart disease, dementia, and weakened immune response.

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly worsened this crisis, as lockdowns and social distancing disrupted social networks and left many people, especially the elderly, youth, migrants, and people with disabilities, feeling cut off from their communities. But even as the pandemic recedes, the health effects of prolonged isolation persist globally, across both developed and developing nations. Rapid urbanization, digital communication replacing face-to-face interaction, and shifting family structures have all contributed to rising levels of social disconnection.

Several countries have begun to recognize loneliness as a national issue, creating national strategies to address it. However, there is no global framework guiding how nations should respond. As the World Health Organization explores its role in addressing social well-being alongside physical health, delegates must consider how healthcare systems, communities, and international partnerships can tackle loneliness as a preventable and treatable health risk.

Timeline of Events

September 2000 – The United Nations adopts the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), including improvements to mental health, but does not yet address loneliness directly.

October 2010 – The AARP Foundation in the United States launches its *Connect2Affect* campaign to battle isolation with older adults.

April 2015 – Japan identifies increasing loneliness and suicide rates among elderly citizens, prompting the government to launch community support programs.

June 2017 – The U.S. Surgeon General declares loneliness a public health crisis and begins speaking out about its widespread effects.

January 2018 – The United Kingdom appoints the world's first *Minister for Loneliness* to lead national efforts against social isolation.

February 2019 – The World Health Organization's *Global Campaign on Healthy Aging* includes social connectedness as a key priority.

March 2020 – COVID-19 is declared a global pandemic, leading to lockdowns and widespread social distancing that increase loneliness across all age groups.

June 2021 – Australia launches the *Ending Loneliness Together* network to coordinate research and solutions among civil society organizations.

November 2022 – The WHO hosts a global webinar series on loneliness and mental health as part of its Mental Health Forum.

January 2023 – The U.S. introduces the *National Strategy to Advance Social Connection*, aiming to reduce loneliness and increase civic engagement.

March 2024 – The WHO announces the development of global guidelines on social connection as part of its broader Healthy Societies agenda.

October 27, 2024 – The World Health Organization calls on member states to integrate loneliness prevention into public health strategies at the annual World Health Assembly.

May 2025 – Several countries, including Canada, South Korea, and Brazil, begin piloting national loneliness monitoring systems to inform public policy.

Historical Analysis

The recognition of loneliness as a public health issue is a recent development, although the root causes of social isolation have been building over decades. Traditionally, loneliness was understood as a private emotional experience rather than a population-wide health concern. However, advances in psychology, public health, and neuroscience show that chronic loneliness poses significant risks to physical and mental well-being and contributes to higher rates of depression, anxiety, cardiovascular disease, and cognitive decline.

One major historical contributor to loneliness is the shifting structure of societies across the globe. In many high-income nations, particularly since the mid-20th century, increased urbanization, longer life expectancy, and declining birth rates have led to a breakdown in extended family networks and traditional community structures. Aging populations in countries like Japan and Italy are more likely to live alone, often with limited access to community services. Similarly, in lower-income and middle-income countries undergoing rapid modernization, younger generations often migrate to cities for work, leaving behind older family members who become socially isolated.

Governments were slow to recognize the public health implications of these demographic and cultural shifts. Early awareness emerged from civil society. For instance, the AARP Foundation in the United States began targeting senior loneliness in the early 2010s. In Japan, the alarming rise in elder suicide rates led to community-based responses. However, it wasn't until the United Kingdom's appointment of the world's first Minister for Loneliness in January 2018 that loneliness began to be treated as a formal policy concern. This move sparked international attention and inspired similar strategies in countries like Australia and Canada.

The COVID-19 pandemic marked a turning point. With strict lockdowns, school closures, and physical distancing measures in place, millions experienced prolonged social isolation for the first time. Vulnerable groups—such as the elderly, people with disabilities, migrants, and young adults—were disproportionately affected. For many governments, the pandemic exposed how unprepared health systems were to address the social dimensions of health. This triggered a surge in research, media attention, and policymaking related to loneliness. In April 2021, the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs emphasized the long-term mental health risks of pandemic-related isolation in its official reports.

The World Health Organization has historically focused on communicable diseases, maternal and child health, and nutrition. However, as global understanding of health becomes more holistic, the WHO

has begun to expand its scope to include mental and social health. Its Global Campaign on Healthy Aging (launched in 2019) began integrating loneliness into its agenda, emphasizing the importance of intergenerational connection and community integration. More recently, WHO has hosted webinars and issued discussion papers identifying loneliness as a global health concern, particularly in connection with mental health and aging.

Today, countries respond to the issue based on different capacities and cultural contexts. In high-income nations, loneliness policies often include public awareness campaigns, social prescribing by healthcare providers, and digital tools to connect people. In contrast, lower-income nations may focus on strengthening community networks and using existing local infrastructure to reduce isolation. Despite growing efforts, a standardized international framework for addressing loneliness is still lacking.

Current Situation

The loneliness epidemic has emerged as one of the most pressing yet still underrecognized global health crises. It affects people of all ages, genders, and backgrounds, though the causes and consequences vary significantly depending on geography, socio-economic status, and cultural context. The World Health Organization (WHO) has increasingly identified social isolation as a threat to population well-being and health systems, citing its connection to increased risk of mortality, mental illness, and reduced life satisfaction. As of 2025, WHO and its member states are working to integrate loneliness into broader public health frameworks, but many challenges remain.

Global Trends and Statistics

A growing body of international research highlights the scale of the crisis. According to a 2023 Gallup poll, nearly 25% of adults worldwide report feeling very or fairly lonely. In the United States, the 2023 U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on the Healing Effects of Social Connection emphasized that social isolation carries the same mortality risk as smoking 15 cigarettes per day. Meanwhile, a 2021 study in Japan revealed that over 40% of adults aged 60+ regularly go days without meaningful interaction. Similar patterns are emerging in Europe, South America, and parts of Sub-Saharan Africa. Importantly, young people, especially those aged 15–24, report some of the highest levels of loneliness in both high-income and low-income nations.

Key Actors

National governments have begun taking steps to address loneliness, but the level of action varies. The UK's Ministry for Loneliness has inspired similar initiatives in Australia, Canada, and New Zealand. The United States has released a national strategy to combat loneliness through healthcare and community engagement. In Asia, Japan and South Korea have invested in technologies and neighborhood support programs to reach isolated seniors. At the multilateral level, WHO has formed expert groups to study loneliness as a determinant of health and has integrated the topic into its Mental Health and Healthy Aging platforms.

Organizations such as AARP, Age UK, and Ending Loneliness Together, play a crucial role in both advocacy and community-based interventions. Religious institutions, schools, and workplaces are also being encouraged to play a part in fostering connection. Technology companies are emerging as unexpected stakeholders, with some developing platforms aimed at enhancing social bonds, while others are criticized for contributing to disconnection.

Regional Variation and Inequality

While loneliness is a global issue, it manifests differently in diverse regions. In Europe and North America, nuclear family structures and urban lifestyles often lead to social fragmentation. In Latin America and Africa, traditional community ties are being disrupted by urban migration and economic hardship. In Asia, cultural norms around privacy and emotional restraint make it harder to recognize loneliness.

This means that any global response must be flexible and culturally sensitive. Low- and middle-income countries may need targeted international support to develop loneliness interventions without compromising other public health priorities.

Possible Solutions

National Loneliness Strategies

One of the most comprehensive approaches to tackling loneliness is the development of National Loneliness Strategies. Governments, supported by international bodies, can create national frameworks that integrate loneliness reduction into health, education, urban planning, and social services. Frameworks such as the United Kingdom's Ministry of Loneliness allow governments to treat loneliness as a public health issue with measurable goals. However, these strategies require sustained political commitment, long-term funding, and adaptation to each country's cultural and socio-economic context. In lower-income nations or areas with limited public infrastructure, national strategies may struggle to move beyond policy into meaningful local action.

Expansion of Social Prescribing

Social prescribing is a growing public health tool in which medical professionals refer patients experiencing loneliness or mental distress to community-based activities rather than relying solely on clinical interventions. These may include joining book clubs, group walks, volunteer work, or skill-sharing programs. Countries like Canada, Australia, and the Netherlands have piloted such models, often with positive outcomes in mental health and social engagement. Social prescribing shifts the focus from treatment to prevention, which can ease pressure on healthcare systems. Nonetheless, it depends heavily on the strength of community services and the availability of trained link workers who can match individuals to appropriate programs. In regions with fewer community organizations or limited transportation access, implementation may be more difficult.

Digital Inclusion and Technological Tools

Technology can also play a key role in mitigating social isolation, particularly for those in remote or underserved regions. Virtual companionship tools, online community forums, and AI-powered social support platforms have been used to connect individuals across age and geographic barriers. During the COVID-19 pandemic, such tools became essential lifelines for many. However, digital approaches come with limitations, including the risk of deepening dependency on virtual interactions and exacerbating digital divides. Ensuring equitable access to technology is critical if these solutions are to succeed globally.

Bloc Positions

High-Income Public Health Bloc

Countries such as the United Kingdom, Canada, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Germany, Australia, and New Zealand emphasize healthcare-driven approaches to combating loneliness. With strong public healthcare systems and a track record of integrating mental health into public health policies, these nations support national loneliness strategies, social prescribing, and early intervention programs. Many in this bloc have already developed government-backed loneliness campaigns. They are likely to support funding research, creating international frameworks, and expanding WHO's role in standardizing loneliness-related data.

Digital Innovation Bloc

This bloc includes the United States, Japan, Israel, and the Netherlands, countries with advanced technological sectors and interest in private-public partnerships. They view tech-based interventions, such as virtual companion tools, mental health apps, and AI-driven platforms, as scalable responses to loneliness. While aware of the risks of digital dependency, these countries tend to favor innovation as a primary avenue for outreach. They are likely to propose global digital equity initiatives and technological funding in resolutions.

Middle-Income Community-Focused Bloc

This bloc is Brazil, Mexico, Colombia, Chile, Turkey, South Africa, and Thailand, where traditional community networks still play a strong role in mental health. These nations are concerned with balancing modern health infrastructure with culturally grounded solutions. They are likely to support hybrid approaches that combine social prescribing with community and family-based support models. While open to international funding and support, they will prioritize affordable, locally-run programs that empower grassroots organizations.

Capacity-Builder Bloc

Countries like Bangladesh, Nigeria, Kenya, Pakistan, Egypt, Ethiopia, and Indonesia face limited healthcare infrastructure and competing health priorities. Loneliness may be underreported or overshadowed by urgent issues like infectious diseases or malnutrition. These nations will likely call for increased support, funding for pilot programs, and capacity-building assistance. They may be cautious about overreliance on digital solutions due to the digital divide

Culturally Conservative Bloc

Countries such as Saudi Arabia, Iran, China, and the United Arab Emirates may approach loneliness as a family or societal issue rather than a medical one. In these contexts, stigma around mental health and cultural norms surrounding intergenerational care may influence how loneliness is perceived. These countries are likely to advocate for sovereignty in how solutions are applied and may resist globally prescriptive strategies. However, they may support interventions framed through religious, familial, or social harmony lenses.

Discussion Questions

1. How can loneliness be effectively defined and measured across different cultures and healthcare systems?
2. In what ways has globalization, urbanization, and modern technology contributed to the rise of loneliness—and how should global health institutions respond?
3. What ethical considerations must be taken into account when designing government-led interventions for social isolation, especially among vulnerable populations?
4. How can low- and middle-income countries develop sustainable, culturally sensitive approaches to address loneliness without overburdening existing health systems?
5. Should the WHO create a global framework for loneliness as it has for other non-communicable diseases—and what would that look like?
6. How can international cooperation ensure that rural, elderly, and digitally disconnected populations are not left behind in loneliness-related strategies?
7. What are the risks of over-medicalizing loneliness, and how can we balance clinical care with social and community-based approaches?
8. How should delegates navigate the balance between individual responsibility and societal obligation in addressing the loneliness epidemic?

Additional Resources

- RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION TO TACKLE THE - Loneliness epidemic among older adults in Canada
- Let's Plant the Seeds of Change | HHS.gov
- https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/surgeon-general-social-connection-advisory.pdf
- WHO's work on the UN Decade of Healthy Ageing

Works Cited

2022. Affective Neuroscience of Loneliness: Potential Mechanisms underlying the Association between Perceived Social Isolation, Health, and Well-Being. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9910279/.

n.d. Connect2Tools to Overcome Social Isolation. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://connect2affect.org.

n.d. Jo Cox Commission on Loneliness - Wikipedia. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jo_Cox_Commission_on_Loneliness.

n.d. Ending Loneliness Together – Imagine a world where everyone feels a sense of connection and belonging. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://endingloneliness.com.au.

n.d. Global Challenges to Public Health Care Systems during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review of Pandemic Measures and Problems. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9409667/.

n.d. Global Challenges to Public Health Care Systems during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review of Pandemic Measures and Problems. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9409667/.

"(PDF) The prevalence of loneliness across 113 countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis." n.d. ResearchGate. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358511924_The_prevalence_of_loneliness_across_113_countries_systematic_review_and_meta-analysis.

"Addressing loneliness and social isolation in 52 countries: a scoping review of National policies." 2024. PubMed Central. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11061917/.

ALBRIS, KRISTOFFER. n.d. "The Digital Backlash and the Paradoxes of Disconnection." Simple search. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://norden.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1896921/FULLTEXT01.pdf.

"COVID-19 pandemic triggers 25% increase in prevalence of anxiety and depression worldwide." 2022. World Health Organization (WHO). https://www.who.int/news/item/02-03-2022-covid-19-pandemic-triggers-25-increase-in-prevalence-of-anxiety-and-depression-worldwide.

Dugan, Andrew. 2024. "Over 1 in 5 People Worldwide Feel Lonely a Lot." Gallup News. https://news.gallup.com/poll/646718/people-worldwide-feel-lonely-lot.aspx.

"Let's Plant the Seeds of Change." 2025. HHS.gov. https://www.hhs.gov/surgeongeneral/reports-and-publications/connection/resources/index.html.

"Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)." 2018. World Health Organization (WHO). https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/millennium-development-goals-(mdgs).

Miyazaki, Rie. n.d. Long-Term Care and the State-Family Nexus in Italy and Japan—The Welfare State, Care Policy and Family Caregivers. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9915554/.

"A New Benchmark for Mental Health Systems (EN)." n.d. OECD. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2021/06/a-new-benchmark-for-mental-health-systems_c0cce868/4ed890f6-en.pdf.

"Our Epidemic of Loneliness and Isolation." n.d. HHS.gov. Accessed July 4, 2025. https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/surgeon-general-social-connection-advisory.pdf.

Rowland, Katherine, and Vivek Murthy. 2024. "US surgeon general Vivek Murthy: 'Loneliness is like hunger, a signal we're lacking something for survival.'" The Guardian. https://www.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/2024/jan/29/us-surgeon-general-vivek-murthy-loneliness-mental-health-epidemic-social-media.

"Social isolation, loneliness in older people pose health risks." 2019. National Institute on Aging. https://www.nia.nih.gov/news/social-isolation-loneliness-older-people-pose-health-risks.

"Social Isolation and Loneliness - Social Isolation and Loneliness." n.d. World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed July 4, 2025. https://www.who.int/teams/social-determinants-of-health/demographic-change-and-healthy-ageing/social-isolation-and-loneliness.

"WHO Commission on Social Connection." n.d. World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed July 4, 2025. https://www.who.int/groups/commission-on-social-connection.

"WHO's work on the UN Decade of Healthy Ageing (2021-2030)." n.d. World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed July 4, 2025. https://www.who.int/initiatives/decade-of-healthy-ageing.